UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/027,727	12/21/2001	Chenghua Oliver Han	22.1450	9783
	7590 02/25/200 GER TECHNOLOGY	EXAMINER		
14910 Airline Road P.O. Box 1590 Rosharon, TX 77583-1590			JOHNSON, STEPHEN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3641	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/25/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	Application No.		
Office Action Commence	10/027,727	HAN, CHENGHUA OLIVER	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen M. Johnson	3641	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	COMMUNICA R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on Octain 2a) This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allocations accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition is in condition.	This action is non-final. wance except for formal matters	·	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ✓ Claim(s) 1,3,6,7,17-19,22,23,25,27,28,30,3 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ✓ Claim(s) 1,3,6,7,17-19,22,23,25,27,28,30,3 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	n the application.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to a Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance rection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in App priority documents have been re reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/027,727 Page 2

Art Unit: 3641

1. The following Office action is in response to the RCE as filed on 2/6/2009. Claims 1, 3, 6-7, 17-19, 22-23, 25, 27-28, 30, 33-35, and 42-45 remain active in the case. Claims 2, 4-5, 8-16, 20-21, 24, 26, 29, 31-32, and 36-41 have been cancelled.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1, 6-7, 22-23, 25, and 42, and 44-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lebourg et al. (794).

Lebourg et al. (794) disclose a perforating system comprising:

a) a charge case of a shaped charge; 10, 16, 17, 18 (see fig. 1)

b) an explosive in the charge case;

c) a liner in the charge case; and 13, 14, 15 (see fig. 1)

d) a v-notched external notch or groove.

Note that although notch 18 has not been explicitly mentioned as providing a scoring mark to aid in fracturing of the casing 10, such scoring would inherently act to weaken the casing and aid in fracturing. Further, note that all applicant has claimed is "adapted to fracture in response to detonation" and this is inherently met.

4. Claims 1, 6-7, 17-18, 22-23, 25, 27-28, 30, 33, 35, 42, and 44-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Slagle et al. (095).

Slagle et al. (095) disclose a perforating system and associated method of use comprising:

34, 40

a) a charge case of a shaped charge;

Application/Control Number: 10/027,727 Page 3

Art Unit: 3641

b) an explosive in the charge case; 38

c) a liner in the charge case; 39

d) a v-notched external notch or groove; 47

e) conveying the perforating string into a well; see fig. 1

f) a loading tube; and inside 2 (see fig. 1)

g) a carrier. 12 (see fig. 1)

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 3, 34, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slangle et al. (095) in view of Arnold (862).

Slangle et al. (095) apply as previously recited. However, undisclosed are scoring grooves that are oriented axially as well as radially. Arnold (862) teaches scoring grooves that are oriented axially as well as radially (see fig. 1). Applicant is substituting one type of scoring groove arrangement for another in an analogous art setting with expected or predictable results. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in this art at the time of the invention to apply the teachings of Arnold to the Slangle et al. perforating system with associated use and have a perforating gun arrangement with differently arranged scoring grooves.

7. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slangle et al. (095) in view of Markel et al. (343).

Application/Control Number: 10/027,727 Page 4

Art Unit: 3641

Slangle et al. (095) apply as previously recited. However, undisclosed is a spirally

arranged perforating string. Markel et al. (343) disclose a spirally arranged perforating

string (see fig. 5a). Applicant is substituting one type of perforating gun arrangement for

another in an analogous art setting with expected or predictable results. It would have

been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in this art at the time of the invention to apply

the teachings of Markel et al. to the Slangle et al. perforating system with associated use

and have a perforating gun of a different type.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-6877

and whose e-mail address is (Stephen.Johnson@uspto.gov). The examiner can normally be

reached on Tuesday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on 571-272-6873. The Central FAX phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 800-786-9199.

/Stephen M. Johnson/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3641

SMJ

February 17, 2009